



Compliance Component

DEFINITION

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| <i>Name</i> | Bridges |
| <i>Description</i> | <p>A Bridge is a network appliance whose purpose is to segment a network into smaller pieces. Each segment of a bridged network is a broadcast domain. Bridges are used to connect Local Area Network segments at the Data Link Layer of the OSI Model.</p> <p>There are three major types of bridging – Transparent, Source Route and Translational.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Transparent Bridges connect and extend Ethernet (802.3) networks of the same type of transmission media.• Source Route Bridging was developed by IBM for use on Token Ring (802.5) networks. Source Route Bridging uses the entire path in a Routing Information Field to connect two network segments. Source Route bridging can allow for multiple redundant paths in a bridged network.• Translational Bridging is used to connect two dissimilar network topologies (e.g., Ethernet to Token Ring). <p>Bridges use the Spanning-Tree Protocol to facilitate traffic management. Bridges maintain a forwarding table updated through dynamic entries. Forwarding to an unknown address is accomplished by broadcasting out all interfaces except for the one that originated the request.</p> |
| <i>Rationale</i> | Bridges allow a network to reduce collision domains, connect dissimilar topologies, and expand or extend a flat network structure. |
| <i>Benefits</i> | Bridges allow the State of Missouri to provide support for legacy media, extend network segments, contain broadcast and collision domains, and translate between different network topologies. Network segmentation allows the network to be reliable, available, scalable and manageable. |

ASSOCIATED ARCHITECTURE LEVELS

| | |
|---|------------------|
| <i>Specify the Domain Name</i> | Infrastructure |
| <i>Specify the Discipline Name</i> | Network |
| <i>Specify the Technology Area Name</i> | Network Hardware |
| <i>Specify the Product Component Name</i> | N/a |

COMPLIANCE COMPONENT TYPE

| | |
|---|-----------|
| <i>Document the Compliance Component Type</i> | Guideline |
| <i>Component Sub-type</i> | |

| COMPLIANCE DETAIL | | | |
|---|------|--|---|
| State the Guideline, Standard or Legislation | | <p>Bridges need to have the following compliance components:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Have two or more physical ports • Support the Spanning Tree IEEE 802.1d Standard to prevent bridging loops • Have an operating system • Have an interface for configuration (e.g., Console Port) • Support transparent bridging (Different bridging applications will require different bridge capabilities) • Be capable of dynamic updates to the bridging table • Be capable of Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP) <p>Bridges may have this additional features:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Translation between different topologies (i.e., Ethernet to Token Ring, or Ethernet to ATM) • Support Source Route Bridging | |
| Document Source Reference # | | IEEE 802.1d, IEEE 802.5m, IBM Token Ring Architecture Reference 12, IEEE 802.3 | |
| Compliance Sources | | | |
| Name | | Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) | Website http://standards.ieee.org/getieee802/portfolio.html |
| Contact Information | | askieee@ieee.org | |
| Name | | International Business Machines (IBM) | Website www.ibm.com/us/ |
| Contact Information | | ews@us.ibm.com | |
| KEYWORDS | | | |
| List Keywords | | Bridge, Transparent Bridging, Translational Bridging, Source Route Bridging, Forward, Spanning-Tree, IEEE 802.1d, IEEE 802.5, IBM Token Ring Architecture 12, IEEE 802.3 | |
| COMPONENT CLASSIFICATION | | | |
| Provide the Classification | | <input type="checkbox"/> Emerging <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Current <input type="checkbox"/> Twilight <input type="checkbox"/> Sunset | |
| Sunset Date | | | |
| COMPONENT SUB-CLASSIFICATION | | | |
| Sub-Classification | Date | Additional Sub-Classification Information | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Technology Watch | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Variance | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Conditional Use | | | |
| Rationale for Component Classification | | | |
| Document the Rationale for Component Classification | | | |
| Migration Strategy | | | |
| Document the Migration Strategy | | | |

| Impact Position Statement | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------|---------|
| Document the Position Statement on Impact | | | |
| CURRENT STATUS | | | |
| Provide the Current Status | <input type="checkbox"/> In Development <input type="checkbox"/> Under Review <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Approved <input type="checkbox"/> Rejected | | |
| AUDIT TRAIL | | | |
| Creation Date | 3-25-2004 | Date Approved / Rejected | 4/13/04 |
| Reason for Rejection | | | |
| Last Date Reviewed | | Last Date Updated | |
| Reason for Update | | | |